



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

H.P.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,400	07/17/2003	Hamid Ould-Brahim	38898-0047	4642
23577 7590 08/22/2007 RIDOUT & MAYBEE SUITE 2400 ONE QUEEN STREET EAST TORONTO, ON M5C3B1 CANADA			EXAMINER SMITH, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT 2616	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/22/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/623,400	Applicant(s) OULD-BRAHIM, HAMID	
	Examiner Marcus R. Smith	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10-12, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yip (US 6,912,592).

with regards to claims 1 and 8, Yip teaches (figure 2a):

A network comprising:

a set of elements interconnected by services (column 6, lines 19-25);

at least one first subset (super VLAN, 130) of said elements defining a private network (column 3, lines 40-53);

at least one second subset of elements (router, 235) different from said first subset defining a provider network (200) wherein at least two subgroups of said first subset of elements may be connected via said provider network (column 6, lines 3-23);

a services hierarchy wherein virtual private networks are defined on said second subset of elements (column 3, lines 30-39: The examiner views each VLAN as a virtual private network.);

Art Unit: 2616

said services hierarchy comprising a father virtual private network (super VLAN, 250) and at least one affiliated son virtual private network (sub VLAN, 255) (column 6, lines 23-30);

each son virtual private network having at most one affiliated father virtual private network (column 6, lines 49-54);

each father virtual private network responsible for associating services and responsible for associating connections for said at least one affiliated son virtual private network (column 5, 13-25: the examiner views the associates services as the super VLAN (father) being to able to forward (service) packets from the sub VLAN (son).);

and said provider network having a means for associating elements comprising said father virtual private network (column 6, lines 40-55: The MAN service provider can uses VMAN aggregation to associated elements to the super VLAN).

with regards to claims 3 and 10, Yip teaches (figure 2b):

wherein said means for associating elements comprising said father virtual private network includes a virtual private network descriptor (FDM, 275) for each father and each son virtual private network (column 5, lines 55-67: the examiner views the forwarding database as a virtual private network descriptor for each VPN to associate those elements together.).

with regards to claims 4 and 11, Yip teaches (figure 2b):

wherein said virtual private network descriptor contains an association between a n address of each element of said father virtual private network and an (MAC) address

of an element of said provider network for each case wherein said networks have direct port connections (column 6, lines 60-67).

with regards to claims 5 and 12, Yip teaches (figure 2b):

A network as claimed in claim 4 wherein said virtual private network descriptor for each father and each son virtual private network are grouped into a set of virtual private network descriptors arranged in a hierarchy, said hierarchy corresponding to a hierarchy defined by said father and said son virtual private networks' affiliations (figure 2b, the database 275 is VLAN group in a hierarchy form. column 6, lines 49-67: the examiners hierarchy in the descriptors as show the database (275) shows the information for the super VLAN for first and then sub VLANs information.)

with regards to claim 17, Yip teaches (figure 2a):

An element of a provider network (100, provider domain) according to the network of claim 1 (column 5, lines 33-45).

with regards to claim 18, Yip teaches (figure 2a):

An element of a private network (Building C, 235, customer domain) according to the network of claim 1 (column 6, lines 19-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 6-7, 9, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yip in view of Boden et al. (US 7,099,319).

With regard to claims 2 and 9:

Yip discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for wherein each said at least one affiliated son virtual private network may recursively act as a father virtual private network for a further virtual private network affiliated as a respective son.

Boden et al. teaches a local VPN (network A) connected to remote VPNs (network B and C) (see figure 4). His background art, teaches how a single VPN (father) can support other independent VPN as many remote or branch offices of system in order to reduce the network cost of a business (column 1, lines 11-23).

In Yip, the sub-VLAN can be customer based VLAN, which can be views a local business. Well in today's world most businesses need or have remote offices. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a local VPN (sub-VLAN of Yip, son of super VLAN) be considered a father to other remote VPN as taught by Boden et al. in the system of Yip in order to reduce network cost.

With regard to claims 6 and 13:

Yip discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for wherein said means for associating elements further comprises a globally unique identifier associated with said father or said son virtual private network. But it dose teaches that the system

Art Unit: 2616

uses IP routing rules transmitted packets (column 6, lines 30-35) and each customer have a private IP subnet (address).

Boden et al. teaches a local VPN (network A) connected to remote VPNs (network B and C) (see figure 4) that have global public IP addresses in order to uniquely route the packets across the network to overcome overlapping IP addresses (column 5, lines 10-30).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to global public IP addresses as taught by Boden et al. in the system of Yip in order to uniquely route the packets across the network to overcome overlapping IP addresses.

with regard to claims 7 and 14:

Yip discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for wherein said means for associating elements further comprises a set associating for each said globally unique identifier a corresponding virtual private network descriptor and an indicator of a level within said hierarchy defined by said father and said son virtual private networks' affiliations.

Boden et al. teaches a local VPN (network A) connected to remote VPNs (network B and C) (see figure 4). It also teaches VPN NAT address bind table includes a local/remote indicator field and other address fields. The local/remote field can indication what hierarchy level the VPN is on by stating if it is local (father) or remote (son) in order for a system to have multiple remote sites connect to local gateway without conflicting with each other remote sites (column 3, lines 1-5).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to local/remote indication field as taught by Boden et al. in the system of Yip in order for a system to have multiple remote sites connect to local gateway without conflicting with each other remote sites.

with regards to claim 15, Yip

A method as claimed in claim 14 wherein said set is established by a process of auto-discovery (column 6, lines 55-67: the examiner views a switch using MAC address learning rules as auto discovery.).

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yip and Boden et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Sistanizadeh et al. (US 6,963,575).

Yip and Boden et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for wherein said process of auto-discovery uses Border Gateway Protocol.

Sistanizadeh et al. teaches a wide area network with routing protocols like Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) for learning bridge operations in mini-autonomous system (abstract) in order to accommodate future growth, and have the system be flexible and scalable (column 2, lines 1-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use Border Gateway Protocol as taught by Sistanizadeh et al. in the system of Yip and Boden et al. in order to accommodate future growth, and have the system be flexible and scalable (column 2, lines 1-10).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/28/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner disagrees with the applicant that the VLAN 2 has more one father VLAN. Yip teaches that VLAN2 is not the father to VLAN 3 and VLAN 4 and VLAN 2 cannot communicate with either VLAN 3 or 4 (see column 6, lines 50-55). Also the VLAN in the VMAN act like VPNs in this system (column 5, lines 50-60).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus R. Smith whose telephone number is 571 270 1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 7:30 am - 5:00 pm every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MRS 8/7/07

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Chau Nguyen', is centered on the page.

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600